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## 1. Introduction

The Bernstein operators $B_{n}: \mathscr{C}[0,1] \rightarrow \mathscr{C}[0,1]$ are defined by

$$
B_{n}(f ; x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} x^{k}(1-x)^{n-k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \quad(f \in \mathscr{C}[0,1] ; x \in[0,1]) .
$$

Throughout this paper, let $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of natural numbers. We investigate the limit behaviour of the sequence $\left(B_{n}^{k_{n}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of powers of Bernstein operators. Using Hilbert space methods, we give an explicit formula for $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}^{k_{n}} f$, provided $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)$ exists and $f$ is smooth, i.e., $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{L}_{2}[0,1]$. The limits of the eigenfunctions of $B_{n}$ prove to be the indefinite integrals of Legendre polynomials. For the cases $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=$ $\infty$, we shall give theorems of Voronovskaja type. Since our work depends fundamentally on a work of Kelisky and Rivlin [2], we summarize their main results in the next section.

We shall use the following notation: $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of all natural numbers, $\mathbb{N}_{9}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. The space of all continuous real-valued functions on the closed interval $[0,1]$, resp. $k$-times continuously differentiable realvalued functions on $[0,1]$, is denoted by $\mathscr{C}[0,1]$, resp. $\mathscr{C}(k)[0,1]$. For each $s \in \mathbb{N}, \mathscr{F}_{s}$ is the space of all real polynomials of degree $s$ and $\mathscr{P}_{s e}$ the subspace of all $p \in \mathscr{P}_{s}$ with $p(0)=0$; similarly $\mathscr{P}^{\text {i }}$ is the space of all real polynomials and $\mathscr{P}_{0}$ the subspace of all $p \in \mathscr{P}$ with $p(0)=0$. We consider these polynomial spaces as subspaces of $\mathscr{C}[0,1]$. For $s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, e_{s}$ is the monomial $e_{s}(x)=x^{s}$. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ will denote the supremum norm on $\mathscr{C}[0,1]$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ the norm on the function spaces $\mathscr{L}_{p}[0,1](p \geqslant 1)$. The norms of operators on these spaces will be denoted by the same symbols.

## 2. Main Results of Kelisky and Rivlin [2]

Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Since $B_{n} p \in \mathscr{P}_{s}$ for all $p \in \mathscr{P}_{s}$ and $B_{n}(f ; 0)=f(0)$ for all $f \in \mathscr{C}[0,1]$, we can interpret each $B_{n}$ as a linear map $B_{n}: \mathscr{P}_{s 0} \rightarrow \mathscr{P}_{s 0}$. For $j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ one obtains $B_{n}\left(e_{j} ; x\right)=a_{1 j}(n) x+a_{2 i}(n) x^{2}+\cdots+a_{j i}(n) x^{j}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i j}(n) & =\pi_{i}(n) n^{i-j_{\sigma_{j}}} & & \text { for } i \leqslant j, \\
& =0 & & \text { for } i>j, \\
\pi_{i}(n) & =1 & & \text { for } i=1, \\
& =\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right) \cdots\left(1-\frac{i-1}{n}\right) & & \text { for } i>1, \\
\sigma_{j}^{i} & =\frac{(-1)^{i}}{i!} \sum_{k=1}^{i}(-1)^{k}\binom{i}{k} k^{j} & & (i, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\})
\end{aligned}
$$

(Stirling numbers of the second kind).
The associated $s \times s$ matrix $A(n)$ with elements $a_{i j}(n)$ is upper triangular. In the following, we shall only consider indices $n$ with $n \geqslant s$. Then $A(n)$ possesses the eigenvalues $\pi_{i}(n)(i=1, \ldots, s)$ and can be diagonalized. Let $V(n)$-with elements $v_{i j}(n)$-be the $s \times s$ matrix of eigenvectors with the standardization $v_{i i}(n)=1(i=1, \ldots, s)$. Also $V(n)$ is upper triangular. Kelisky and Rivlin showed that the $V(n)$ converge, and calculated the limit matrix. A slight transformation of the original Kelisky and Rivlin formula yields

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{i j}(n)=(-1)^{i+j} \frac{\binom{j-1}{i-1}\binom{j}{i}}{\binom{2 j-2}{j-i}} \quad(i, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}, i \leqslant j) .
$$

For each $n \geqslant s$, to the eigenvectors $\left(v_{1 j}(n), v_{2 j}(n), \ldots, v_{s j}(n)\right)^{t}$ there correspond polynomials $p_{j n} \in \mathscr{P}_{j 0}(j=1, \ldots, s)$ which are eigenfunctions of $B_{n}$ and for which therefore the following relation holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{n} p_{j n} & =p_{1 n} & & \text { for } j=1,  \tag{1}\\
& =\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right) \cdots\left(1-\frac{j-1}{n}\right) p_{j n} & & \text { for } j=2, \ldots, s .
\end{align*}
$$

For each $j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, the coefficients of $p_{i n}$ converge (as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) to the corresponding coefficients of the polynomial $p_{j}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{j}(-1)^{i+j} \frac{\binom{j-1}{i-1}\binom{j}{i}}{\binom{2 j-2}{j-i}} x^{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(in what follows, the expression "coefficientwise convergent" and the denotation " $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{j n}={ }^{c} p_{j}$ " will be used).

## 3. Asymptotic Behaviour of $B_{n}^{k_{n}} p$ for Polynomials $p$

Throughout this section, let $p \in \mathscr{P}_{0}$ be a given polynomial, say $p(x)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i} x^{i}$, of degree $s$. There are unique representations $p=\sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{s}} b_{i n} p_{j n}$ and $p=\sum_{j=1}^{s} b_{j} p_{j}$ with coefficients $b_{j n}$ and $b_{j}$. Again for all occurring indices $n$, we assume the restriction $n \geqslant s$. The application of $B_{n}^{k_{n}}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{n}^{k_{n}} p= & b_{1 n} p_{1 n}+b_{2 n}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k_{n}} p_{2 n}+b_{3 n}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k_{n}}\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right)^{k_{n}} p_{3 n} \\
& +\cdots+b_{s n}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k_{n}}\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right)^{k_{n}} \cdots\left(1-\frac{s-1}{n}\right)^{k_{n}} p_{s n} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

For our further investigation we need two lemmas.

## Lemma 1.

(i) $b_{1 n}=b_{1}=p(1)$ and $p_{1 n}=p_{1}=e_{1}$ for each $n \geqslant s$;
(ii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{j n}=b_{j}$ for each $j \in\{2, \ldots, s\}$.

Proof. (i) Since we chose the standardization $v_{i i}(n)=1$, there holds $p_{1 n}=p_{1}=e_{1}$. From (1) and the relation $B_{n}(f ; 1)=f(1)$ for all $f \in \mathbb{C}[0,1]$, it follows that $p_{j n}(1)=p_{j}(1)=0$ for $j \in\{2, \ldots, s\}$, and therefore $b_{1 n}=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{s} b_{j n} p_{j n}(1)=p(1)=\sum_{j=1}^{s} b_{j} p_{j}(1)=b_{1}$.
(ii) Since $p_{j}$ and $p_{j n}$ have leading coefficients $1, p=\sum_{j=1}^{s} b_{j} p_{j}=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{s} b_{j n} p_{j n}$ implies $b_{s}=b_{s n}$ for all $n \geqslant s$. Now let be $k \in\{1, \ldots, s-1\}$, and suppose the convergence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{j n}=b_{j}$ is known for all $j \in\{k+1, \ldots, s\}$. To establish $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{k n}=b_{k}$ consider the relation $\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(b_{j} p_{j}-b_{j n} p_{j n}\right)=$ $\sum_{j=k+1}^{s}\left(b_{j n} p_{i n}-b_{j} p_{j}\right)$. The right side converges coefficientwise to the zero polynomial. Hence, in particular the leading coefficient on the left side converges to zero, i.e., $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(b_{k}-b_{k n}\right)=0$.

Lemma 2. Suppose $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of natural numbers with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=0$. Then for each $l \in \mathbb{N}, l \geqslant 2$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{k_{n}}\left\{\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k_{n}}\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right)^{k_{n}} \cdots\left(1-\frac{l-1}{n}\right)^{k_{n}}-1\right\}=-\binom{l}{2}
$$

Proof. The assertion can be reduced to

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{k_{n}}\left\{\left(1-\frac{m}{n}\right)^{k_{n}}-1\right\}=-m \quad \text { for each } \quad m \in \mathbb{N}
$$

which is verified by using standard techniques of mathematical analysis. The details are left to the reader.

The following proposition is a straightforward consequence of (1), (3), and Lemmas 1 and 2.

Proposition 1. Let $p$ be the given polynomial and $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of natural numbers.
(i) In the case $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}^{k_{n} p} \stackrel{c}{=} b_{1} p_{1}+b_{2} p_{2}+\cdots+b_{s} p_{s}=p \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As to the degree of approximation we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{k_{n}}\left\{B_{n}^{k_{n}} p-p\right\} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{k_{n}}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{s} b_{j n}\left(B_{n}^{k_{n}} p_{i n}-p_{i n}\right)\right\} \\
& \stackrel{c}{=}-\sum_{j=2}^{s}\binom{j}{2} b_{j} p_{j} . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) In the case $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=\infty$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}^{k_{n}} p \stackrel{c}{=} b_{1} p_{1}=p(1) e_{1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As to the degree of approximation we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-k_{n}}\left\{B_{n}^{k_{n}} p-b_{1} p_{1}\right\} \stackrel{c}{=} b_{2} p_{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) In the case $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=q \in(0, \infty)$ using the abbreviations $E_{j}:=e^{-j(j-1) / 2}(j=1, \ldots, s)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}^{k_{n}} p \stackrel{c}{=} b_{1} p_{1}+E_{2}^{q} b_{2} p_{2}+\cdots+E_{s}^{q} b_{s} p_{s} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case a simple result concerning the degree of approximation seems to be impossible.

In the next section we answer the question, how do the coefficients $b_{j}$ depend on the given function $p$ ?

## 4. The Associated Hilbert Space $\mathscr{H}_{B}$

Let $\mathscr{H}_{B}$ denote the space of all absolutely continuous real-valued functions on $[0,1]$ with $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{L}_{2}[0,1]$. For $f, g \in \mathscr{H}_{B}$ define $\langle f, g\rangle:=$ $\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime}(t) g^{\prime}(t) d t$ and $\|f\|_{B}:=\left(\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime}(t)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}$. Obviously $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is an inner product on $\mathscr{H}_{B}$, and thereby $\mathscr{H}_{B}$ becomes a real Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{B}$ ( $\mathscr{H}_{B}$ is closely related to a certain Sobolev space). Using Holder's inequality we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x)| \leqslant \int_{0}^{x}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \leqslant\|f\|_{B} x^{1 / 2} \quad(x \in[0,1]) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore $\|f\|_{\infty} \leqslant\|f\|_{B}$ for all $f \in \mathscr{H}_{B}$. Hence on $\mathscr{H}_{B}$ the Hilbert space topology is finer than the topology of uniform convergence. On $\mathscr{H}_{B}$ the norms $\|f\|_{B}=\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}$ and $\|f\|_{2}^{1}:=\|f\|_{2}+\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{2}$ are equivalent; more exactly we have $\frac{1}{2}\|f\|_{2}^{1} \leqslant\|f\|_{B} \leqslant\|f\|_{2}^{1}$ for all $f \in \mathscr{H}_{B}$, a simple conclusion from (9). Since the polynomials are dense in $\mathscr{L}_{2}[0,1], \mathscr{P}_{0}$ is a dense subset of the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_{B}$,

Now for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we define polynomials $f_{j}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j}:=\frac{1}{(2 j-1)^{1 / 2}}\binom{2 j-1}{j} p_{j} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{j}$ as in (2). For $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ let $g_{j}$ denote the Legendre polynomial of degree $j$ on the interval $[0,1]$.

## Proposition 2.

(i) $\left\{f_{j} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a complete orthonormal set in $\mathscr{H}_{B}$;
(ii) $f_{j}(x)=\int_{0}^{x} g_{j-1}(t) d t$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For $j \geqslant 2$, we use the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j}(x)=(-1)^{j+1} \frac{(2 j-1)^{1 / 2}}{(j-1)!} h_{j}^{(j-2)}(x) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the auxiliary function $h_{j}(x)=(x(1-x))^{j-1}$. Then (i) ensues by standard arguments, and (ii) is obvious, when we emphasize (i) and the definition of Legendre polynomials.

Thus each $f \in \mathscr{H}_{B}$ admits an expansion $f=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\langle f, f_{j}\right\rangle f_{j}$, and by the above remarks we infer that this expansion is also valid with respect to the supremum norm on $\mathscr{C}[0,1]$. For polynomials $p \in \mathscr{P}_{s 0}$, from (10) and the representation $p=\sum_{j=1}^{s}\left\langle p, f_{j}\right\rangle f_{j}$ we get an explicit formula for the coefficients $b_{j}$ of Section 3:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j}=\binom{2 j-1}{j}^{2} \frac{1}{2 j-1} \int_{0}^{1} p_{j}^{\prime}(t) p^{\prime}(t) d t \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting in Proposition 1 yields new formulations for (5) resp. (7), which are marked with ( $5^{\prime}$ ) resp. ( $7^{\prime}$ ).

In the case $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=0$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{k_{n}}\left\{B_{n}^{k_{n}} p-p\right\} \stackrel{c}{=} A_{0} p
$$

where

$$
A_{0}(p ; x):=\frac{1}{2} x(1-x) p^{\prime \prime}(x)
$$

In the case $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=\infty$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-k_{n}}\left\{B_{n}^{k_{n}} p-p(1) e_{1}\right\} \stackrel{c}{=} A_{\infty} p
$$

where

$$
A_{\infty}(p ; x):=\frac{1}{2} x(1-x)\left\{6 \int_{0}^{1}(1-2 t) p^{\prime}(t) d t\right\}
$$

(5') follows from (10), (1) and the relation

$$
x(1-x) h_{j}^{(j)}(x)=j(1-j) h_{j}^{(j-2)}(x) \quad(j \geqslant 2)
$$

whereas (7') is immediate. Interpreting the Bernstein operators as linear operators $B_{n}: \mathscr{H}_{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{B}$, we are interested in the associated operator norm, which will be denoted by $\left\|B_{n}\right\|_{B}$.

Proposition 3. For all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\left\|B_{n}{ }^{k}\right\|_{B}=1$.
Proof. We use the Kantorovič operators $P_{n}: \mathscr{L}_{p}[0,1] \rightarrow \mathscr{L}_{p}[0,1]$ ( $p \geqslant 1 ; n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ ) defined by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P_{n}(f ; x):=(n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} x^{k}(1-x)^{n-k} \int_{k /(n+1)}^{(k+1) /(n+1)} f(t) d t \\
\left(f \in \mathscr{L}_{p}[0,1] ; x \in[0,1]\right)
\end{array}
$$

The following facts are known (cf. Lorentz [3, p. 30]): For $f \in \mathscr{L}_{p}[0,1]$ with $F(x):=\int_{0}^{x} f(t) d t$, the relation

$$
P_{n}(f ; x)=\frac{d}{d x}\left(B_{n+1}(F ; x)\right) \quad(x \in[0,1])
$$

holds true, and for the operator norms we have

$$
\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{\mathbb{p}} \leqslant 1 \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, p \geqslant 1 .
$$

Thus for functions $f \in \mathscr{H}_{B}$ with $\|f\|_{B}=\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leqslant 1$, we get $\left\|B_{n} f\right\|_{B}=$
 The converse inequality follows from $B_{n} e_{1}=e_{1}$ and $\left\|e_{1}\right\|_{B}=1$.

The main theorem of this section comprises a result about the covergence of the sequence $\left(B_{n}^{k_{n}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for functions $f \in \mathscr{H}_{B}$. As in Proposition 1, we shall use the abbreviations $E_{j}=e^{-j(j-1) / 2}(j \in \mathbb{N})$, and for $q=\infty$, we set $E_{j} q:=1$ if $j=1$ and $E_{j}{ }^{q}:=0$ otherwise. In Theorem 1 all occurring convergence relations are to be understood with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{B}$.

## Theorem 1.

For each $q \in[0, \infty]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{B}_{a} f:=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{j}<\left\langle f, f_{j}\right\rangle f_{j} \quad\left(f \in \mathscr{H}_{B}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a linear bounded operator, $\mathscr{B}_{q}: \mathscr{H}_{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{B}$, with $\left\|\mathscr{B}_{q}\right\|_{B}=1$. If $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of natural numbers with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=q$, then for each $f \in \mathscr{H}_{B}$ we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}^{k_{n}} f=\mathscr{B}_{q} f$.

Proof. Let $q \in[0, \infty]$ and let $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of natural numbers with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=q$. By Proposition 1, for each $f \in \mathscr{P}_{0}$, say, $f \in \mathscr{P}_{s 0}$, the sequence $\left(B_{n}^{k_{n}} f\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathscr{P}_{s 0}$ is coefficient-wise convergent, and hence converges in the norm on $\mathscr{H}_{B}, \mathscr{P}_{0}$ is a dense subspace of $\mathscr{H}_{B}$, and on account of the above proposition, the norms $\left\|B_{R}^{k_{n}}\right\|_{B}$ are uniformly bounded by 1 . Hence, the Banach-Steinhaus theorem ensures the existence of a linear bounded operator $\mathscr{H}_{q}: \mathscr{H}_{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{B}$ with $\left\|\mathscr{B}_{q}\right\| \leqslant 1$, such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}^{k_{n}} f=\mathscr{O}_{q} f$. $\mathscr{B}_{g^{2}} e_{1}=e_{1}$ and $\left\|e_{1}\right\|_{B}=1 \mathrm{imply}\left\|\mathscr{B}_{q}\right\|_{B}=1$. By virtue of the boundedness of $\mathscr{B}_{a}$, for each $f=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\langle f, f_{j}\right\rangle f_{j} \in \mathscr{H}_{B}$ we obtain $\mathscr{B}_{q} f=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\langle f, f_{j}\right\rangle \mathscr{B}_{q} f_{j}$, and (13) follows from Proposition 1. Finally we note that $\mathscr{B}_{q} f$ is independent of the special choice of the sequence $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

About ten years ago, Karlin and Ziegler [1], Michelli [4], and Schnabl [6] gave the analogous theorem with respect to the uniform topology on $\mathscr{E}[0,1]$. They proved the existence of linear operators $\mathscr{A}: \mathscr{E}[0,1] \rightarrow \mathscr{C}[0,1]$
$(q \in[0, \infty])$ with $\left\|\mathscr{A}_{a}\right\|_{\infty}=1$ such that the following holds: For each sequence $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=q$ and for each $f \in \mathscr{C}[0,1], B_{n}^{k_{n}} f$ uniformly convergences to $\mathscr{A}_{q} f($ as $n \rightarrow \infty)$. But in contrast to (13), the operators $\mathscr{A}_{q}$ are not available (cf. another representation given by Karlin and Ziegler [1, p. 324]). Only for the cases $q=0$ and $q=\infty$ one has $\mathscr{A}_{0}=I$ (identity operator) by Korovkin's theorem and $\mathscr{A}_{\infty}=B_{1}$ by an analogous theorem due to Karlin and Ziegler ([1, Theorem 1]; cf. Sect. 5).

Illustrating this more exactly, let $f$ be absolutely continuous and smooth, i.e., $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{L}_{2}[0,1]$. Applying (13) to the function $g:=f-B_{1} f \in \mathscr{H}_{B}$, we get $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}^{k_{n}} g=\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left\langle g, f_{j}\right\rangle E_{j}^{g} f_{j}$, which is valid with respect to the uniform topology on $\mathscr{C}[0,1]$ as well. Since $B_{n}^{k_{n}} f=B_{1} f+B_{n}^{k_{n} g}$, calculating the coefficients $\left\langle g, f_{j}\right\rangle$, we obtain with respect to the uniform topology on $\mathscr{C}[0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}^{k_{n}} f=B_{1} f+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} E_{j}^{q}\left\{\int_{0}^{1}[f(0)+t(f(1)-f(0))-f(t)] f_{j}^{\prime \prime}(t) d t\right\} f_{j}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., both sides are uniformly convergent and coincide. Although the derivative $f^{\prime}$ does not appear on the right side of (14), the assumption $f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{L}_{2}[0,1]$ is still necessary for the validity of this equation, as the following consideration, for the case $q=0$, will show.

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T_{m}$ be the linear operator $T_{m}: \mathscr{C}[0,1] \rightarrow \mathscr{C}[0,1]$ defined by $T_{1} f:=B_{1} f$ and

$$
T_{m} f:=B_{1} f+\sum_{j=2}^{m}\left\{\int_{0}^{1}[f(0)+t(f(1)-f(0))-f(t)] f_{j}^{\prime \prime}(t) d t\right\} f_{j} \quad(m \geqslant 2)
$$

Obviously, each $T_{m}$ is bounded with respect to the uniform topology on $\mathscr{C}[0,1]$. By the above remarks, one readily shows that each $T_{m}$ is a projection $T_{m}: \mathscr{C}[0,1] \rightarrow \mathscr{P}_{m}$. Hence, by the Kharshiladze-Lozinski tkeorem we infer that there exists a function $f^{*} \in \mathscr{C}[0,1]$ for which $T_{m} f^{*}$ is unbounded, i.e., for which the right side of (14) is unbounded, whereas on the left side $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}^{k_{n}} f^{*}=f^{*}$ still holds true.
5. Quantitative Results for the Cases $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=0$ and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=\infty
$$

We first consider the case $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=0$, which comprises the classical case $k_{n}=1$. Applying Korovkin's theorem with the test set $\left\{e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$, one obtains for all $f \in \mathscr{C}[0,1] \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}^{k_{n}} f=f$ uniformly on $[0,1]$.

Estimates for the quality of the approximation $B_{n}^{k_{n}} f-f$ follow from general quantitative results for the approximation with linear positive
operators, as derived in [5, Section 6]. For this purpose we need the defects of approximation with the functions of the test set, viz.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{0}(x):=B_{n}^{k_{n}}\left(e_{0} ; x\right)-e_{0}(x)=0 \\
& d_{1}(x):=B_{n}^{k_{n}}\left(e_{1} ; x\right)-e_{1}(x)=0 \\
& d_{2}(x):=B_{n}^{k_{n}}\left(e_{2} ; x\right)-e_{2}(x)=\left(1-\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k_{n}}\right)\left(x-x^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the proof of Lemma 2, for $d_{2}(x)$ we get

$$
0 \leqslant d_{2}(x) \leqslant \frac{k_{n}}{n} x(1-x) \leqslant \frac{k_{n}}{4 n}
$$

Now employing Theorems 6.1 and 6.3 from [5], we obtain the following estimates:

Suppose $f \in \mathscr{C}^{(1)}[0,1]$ and $f^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{M} 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{n}^{k_{n}}(f ; x)-f(x)\right| \leqslant \frac{M}{2} \frac{k_{n}}{n} x(1-x) \leqslant \frac{M k_{n}}{8 n} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $f \in \mathscr{C}[0,1]$ and $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{M} 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{n}^{k_{n}}(f ; x)-f(x)\right| \leqslant \frac{M}{2}\left(\frac{k_{n}}{n}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the proof and for further estimates involving other moduli of smoothness, we refer to [5].

We next state a generalization of the Voronovskaja theorem (cf. [3, p, 22]).
Theorem 2. Suppose $f \in \mathscr{C}^{(2)}[0,1]$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=0$. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{k_{n}}\left\{B_{n}^{k_{n}}(f ; x)-f(x)\right\}=\frac{1}{2} x(1-x) f^{\prime \prime}(x) \quad \text { uniformiy on }[0,1] .(17)
$$

Proof. Since each $B_{n}^{k_{n}}$ reproduces linear polynomials, without any loss of generality we restrict ourselves on the subspace

$$
\mathscr{C}_{0}^{(2)}[0,1]:=\left\{f \in \mathscr{C}^{(2)}[0,1] \mid f(0)=0=f(1)\right\}
$$

which is a normed linear space equipped with the norm $q(f):=\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}$.
We introduce operators $T_{n}: \mathscr{C}_{0}^{(2)}[0,1] \rightarrow \mathscr{C}[0,1]\left(n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right)$ defining $T_{n} f:=$ $\left(n \mid k_{n}\right)\left\{B_{n}^{k_{n}} f-f\right\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T_{0} f:=g f^{\prime \prime}$, where $g(x)=\frac{1}{2} x(1-x)(x \in[0,1]$.

Regarding $T_{n}$ as linear operators from $\mathscr{C}_{0}^{(2)}[0,1]$ with the norm $q$ to $\mathscr{C}[0,1]$ with the supremum norm, we try to estimate the associated operator norms. Putting $f \in \mathscr{C}_{0}^{(2)}[0,1]$ and $\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1$, we immediately find $\left|T_{0}(f ; x)\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{8}$, and (15) with $M=1$ yields $\left|T_{n}(f ; x)\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{8}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, the operator norms are uniformly bounded by $\frac{1}{8}$. Due to the theorem of Weierstrass, the polynomials in $\mathscr{C}_{0}^{(2)}[0,1]$ form a dense subspace with respect to the norm $q$. But for polynomials, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n} f=T_{0} f$ with respect to the supremum norm on $\mathscr{C}[0,1]$, on account of ( $5^{\prime}$ ). Thus, arguing as in the proof of the theorem of Banach and Steinhaus, we get the assertion $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{n} f=T_{0} f$ for each $f \in \mathscr{C}_{0}^{(2)}[0,1]$.

Finally in an analogous manner, we shall treat the case $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=\infty$.
Again applying a Korovkin type theorem of Karlin and Ziegler [1, Theorem 1] and using the test set $\left\{e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$, one obtains for all $f \in \mathscr{C}[0,1]$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}^{k_{n}} f=B_{1} f \quad \text { uniformly on }[0,1]
$$

In order to estimate the quality of the approximation $B_{n}^{k_{n}} f-B_{1} f$, we again shall apply general quantitative results, derived by the author in [5]. The defects of approximation with the functions of the test set are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{d}_{0}(x):=B_{n}^{k_{n}}\left(e_{0} ; x\right)-B_{1}\left(e_{0} ; x\right)=0 \\
& \tilde{d}_{1}(x):=B_{n}^{k_{n}}\left(e_{1} ; x\right)-B_{1}\left(e_{1} ; x\right)=0 \\
& \tilde{d}_{2}(x):=B_{n}^{k_{n}}\left(e_{2} ; x\right)-B_{1}\left(e_{2} ; x\right)=\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k_{n}} x(x-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Employing Theorems 6.2 and 6.4 from [5], one obtains the following estimates:

Suppose $f \in \mathscr{C}^{(1)}[0,1]$ and $f^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Lip}_{M} 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{n}^{k_{n}}(f ; x)-B_{1}(f ; x)\right| \leqslant \frac{M}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k_{n}} x(1-x) \leqslant \frac{M}{8}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k_{n}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $f \in \mathscr{C}[0,1]$ and $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{M} 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{n}^{k_{n}}(f ; x)-B_{1}(f ; x)\right| \leqslant 2 M\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k_{n}} x(1-x) \leqslant \frac{M}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k_{n}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

To state Theorem 3 parallel with Theorem 2, we need the class

$$
\hat{\mathscr{C}}[0,1]:=\left\{f \in \mathscr{C}[0,1] \mid f^{\prime}(0) \text { and } f^{\prime}(1) \text { exist }\right\}
$$

Theorem 3. Suppose $f \in \hat{\mathscr{C}}[0,1]$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-k_{n}}\left\{B_{n}^{k_{n}}(f ; x)-B_{1}(f ; x)\right\} \\
& \quad=6 x(1-x)\left\{\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d t-\frac{f(0)+f(1)}{2}\right\} \quad \text { uniformly on }[0,1] \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Again we may restrict ourselves to the subspace

$$
\hat{\mathscr{C}}_{0}[0,1]:=\{f \in \dot{\mathscr{C}}[0,1] \mid f(0)=0=f(1)\}
$$

We must show that for all $f \in \hat{\mathscr{C}}_{0}[0,1]$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-k_{n}} B_{n}^{k_{n}}(f ; x)=6 x(1-x) \int_{0}^{1} f(t) d t \quad \text { uniformly on }[0,1]
$$

To each $f \in \hat{\mathscr{C}}_{0}[0,1]$, there corresponds the function $\hat{f}$ defined by

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
f(t) & :=f^{\prime}(0) & & \text { for } t=0, \\
& :=\frac{f(t)}{t(1-t)} & & \text { for } t \in(0,1), \\
& :=-f^{\prime}(1) & \text { for } t=1
\end{array}
$$

Since $f(0)=0=f(1)$ and $f$ is differentiable at 0 and $1, \hat{f}$ is continuous on $[0,1]$, and therefore $p(f):=\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty}$ exists, which is readily proved to be a norm on the space $\hat{\mathscr{C}}_{0}[0,1]$. We introduce operators $T_{n}: \hat{\mathscr{C}}_{0}[0,1] \rightarrow \mathscr{C}[0,1]$ ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, n \neq 1$ ) defining

$$
T_{n} f:=\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-k_{n}} B_{n}^{k_{n} f} \quad \text { for } \quad n \geqslant 2
$$

and

$$
T_{0} f:=\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d t\right) g
$$

where $g(x)=6 x(1-x)(x \in[0,1])$. Regarding $T_{n}$ as linear operators from $\hat{\mathscr{C}}_{0}[0,1]$ with the norm $p$ to $\mathscr{C}[0,1]$ with the supremum norm, we try to estimate the associated operator norms. Putting $f \in \hat{\mathscr{C}}_{0}[0,1]$ and $\|f\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1$, we have $|f(t)| \leqslant t(1-t)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$. Applying the positive operator $B_{n}^{k_{n}}$ yields

$$
\left|B_{n}^{k_{n}}(f ; x)\right| \leqslant\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{k_{n}} x(1-x) \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in[0,1]
$$

and therefore $\left\|T_{n} f\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant \frac{1}{1}$. Also we have $\left\|T_{0} f\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant \frac{1}{4}$.

Thus the operator norms are uniformly bounded by $\frac{1}{4}$. Now arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2, we obtain the assertion.

We note that for $f \in \mathscr{C}^{(2)}[0,1](20)$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} & \left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-k_{n}}\left\{B_{n}^{k_{n}}(f ; x)-B_{1}(f ; x)\right\} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} x(1-x) \int_{0}^{1} 6 t(1-t) f^{\prime \prime}(t) d t \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\int_{0}^{1} 6 t(1-t) d t=1$, the integral in (21) is a weighted mean of the second derivative.

To conclude this paper, we make a remark concerning saturation.
For a function $f \in \mathscr{C}^{(2)}[0,1]$ in the case $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / \dot{n}\right)=0$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{k_{n}}\left\{B_{n}^{k_{n}}(f ; x)-f(x)\right\}=0
$$

entails $f \in \mathscr{P}_{1}$ and $B_{n}^{k_{n}} f=f$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by virtue of Theorem 2. In contrast to this, let $f \in \mathscr{C}[0,1]$ and $\left(k_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(k_{n} / n\right)=\infty$. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-k_{n}}\left\{B_{n}^{k_{n}}(f ; x)-B_{1}(f ; x)\right\}=0
$$

entails only $\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d t=\frac{1}{2}(f(0)+f(1))$, and the example of the function $f(x)=x\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right)(x-1)$ shows that $B_{n}^{k_{n}} f \neq B_{1} f$ for infinitely many $n$ is still possible.

## Remarks

1. Theorem 3 is contained in the dissertation [5] of the author.
2. H. J. Rausch, Dortmund, has independently found the polynomials $p_{j}$ to be an orthogonal set with respect to some inner product.
3. Similar results concerning Kantorovič operators will be published in a forthcoming paper.
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